

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,996	02/07/2001	Konstantinos I. Papathomas	END920000065US1	8725	
7590 02/06/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jack Friedman			SELLERS, ROBERT E		
Schmelser, Olse	en & Watts				
3 Lear Jet Lane			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 201			1712		
Latham, NY 12110			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	X				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/778,996	PAPATHOMAS, KONSTANTINOS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Sellers	1712				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a region. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 January 2006.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,14,18,41,43,44,46,47,51-54,5</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>47,56,57,80 and</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 14, 18, 41, 43, 44, 46, 51-54,</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d 81 is/are withdrawn from consid	deration.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call.	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	Paper No(s)	Mail Date omal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) 1 Notice of Info					

Application/Control Number: 09/778,996

Art Unit: 1712

Claim 47 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Claims 56, 57, 80 and 81 are withdrawn as being directed to non-elected species. The elections were made **without** traverse in the reply filed on October 6, 2005.

Page 2

- 1. The flexibilizing blend of bis(2,3-epoxy-2-methylpropyl)ether as the first flexibilizer and poly(arylene ether) as the thermoplastic was elected in the response filed October 6, 2005 (page 2). Claims 56, 57, 80 and 81 are limited to single flexiblizing agents regardless of whether or not it is soluble or not. The election of a blend of flexibilizers is a distinct species from a single soluble or insoluble thermoplastic flexibilizing agent.
- 2. The identification of Triton X-100 as polyethylene glycol-p-tert-octylphenyl ether on page 22, line 23 of the specification and the replacement of the trade name with the chemical name in claims 91 and 97 properly defines the surfactant.
- 3. Papathomas et al. Patent No. 6,790,473 is withdrawn due to its application under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) within the confines of 102(e). The Remarks section of the amendment filed January 30, 2006 on page 22 states that the subject matter of Papathomas et al. and the claims "were, at the time the invention was made, owned by International Business Machines Corporation or subject to assignment by International Business Machines Corporation." Accordingly, the statement of common ownership as dictated by MPEP § 706.02(I)(2)II. eliminates Papathomas et al. as a reference.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,996 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

The text of sections 102(a) and 103(c) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 18, 51-53, 59-62, 75-77 and 83-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shiobara et al. Patent No. 6,376,100.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final rejection mailed November 7, 2005. The arguments filed January 30, 2006 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

- 4. Shiobara et al. in Examples 1-6 of Table 1 (cols. 15-16) shows formulations with the epoxy-functional organopolysiloxane stress reducing agent having viscosities of 85, 350, 360 and 380 poise which is less than Comparative Example 1 without the stress reducing agent.
- 5. Based on the equivalent underfills prepared from an epoxy resin, an epoxy-functional organopolysiloxane embraced by the claimed flexibilizer according to page 19, lines 23, 26-27 and 29-31, a spherical inorganic filler having a particle size of up to 50 microns of the prior art and claims, the underfill of Shiobara et al. inherently possesses the claimed higher fracture toughness and increased shock resistance as compared to a composition without the flexibilizing agent since these are inherent properties of a stress reducing agent.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,996

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1, 14, 18, 41, 43, 44, 46, 51-54, 58-62, 75-78, 82-88, 90-94 and 96-98 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christie et al. Patent No. 5,668,059 and Johansson et al. Patent No. 6,090,474 in view of Shiobara et al. Patent No. 6,376,100.

Page 4

Claims 89 and 95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to the claims hereinabove, and further in view of CAPLUS accession no. 1997:713725 for the Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings article by Shi et al.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the non-Final rejection mailed November 7, 2005. The arguments filed January 30, 2006 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

6. Christie et al. ackowledges the imparting of thermal shock resistance and flexibility (whereof the claimed fracture toughness is a feature) upon the incorporation of a flexibilizer (col. 11, lines 14-19). A preferred species of flexbilizer is from about 5 to about 30 percent by weight of a polyol flexiblizer (col. 11, lines 29-30) within the realm of the claimed flexibliizing agent according to page 19, lines 16-21. Therefore, the encapsulant of Christie et al. containing from about 5 to about 30 percent by weight of polyol flexiblizer contributes the claimed thermal shock resistance and flexibility. The polyol inherently provides a decrease in viscosity since the polyols are liquid and would dilute the formulation.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,996 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

7. The flexibilizer of Johansson et al. inherently contributes a higher fracture toughness which is an inherent characteristic thereof. It would have been obvious to employ a particular flexiblizer such as the polyol of Christie et al. which imparts thermal shock resistance and a lower viscosity due to its liquid phase.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Sellers whose telephone number is (571) 272-1093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

rs

2/2/2006

ROBERT E.L. SELLERS PRIMARY EXAMINER